Appendices

APPENDIX A

The Results Of Experiments

A.1 The Results Experiment Scenario 1

This experiment uses five combinations of proportioned data: 90% - 10%, 80% - 20%, 70% - 30%, 60% - 40%, 50% - 50% where the data is divided randomly and performed in five experiments.

Exp		Proport	ion Data Train	Proport		
	Proporsi Dataset	Churn	Churn Not Churn Chu		Not Churn	AUC
1.1	Train 90\% , Test 10%	4.16%	95.84%	0.05%	99.95%	76.00%
1.2	Train 90% , Test 10%	0.29%	99.71%	34.90%	65.10%	74.50%
1.3	Train 90% , Test 10%	4.17%	95.83%	0.00%	100.00%	76.10%
1.4	Train 90\% , Test 10\%	4.17%	95.83%	0.00%	100.00%	72.90%
1.5	Train 90% , Test 10%	3.86%	96.14%	2.75%	97.25%	78.20%
2.1	$\mathrm{Train80\%}$, $\mathrm{Test20\%}$	0.13%	99.87%	18.20%	81.80%	69.00%
2.2	$\mathrm{Train80\%}$, $\mathrm{Test20\%}$	4.66%	95.34%	0.09%	99.91%	62.10%
2.3	$\mathrm{Train80\%}$, $\mathrm{Test20\%}$	4.68%	95.32%	0.02%	99.98%	65.20%
2.4	$\mathrm{Train80\%}$, $\mathrm{Test20\%}$	4.61%	95.39%	0.30%	99.70%	60.90%
2.5	$\mathrm{Train80\%}$, $\mathrm{Test20\%}$	4.43%	95.57%	1.02%	98.98%	64.20%
3.1	$\mathrm{Train}70\%$, $\mathrm{Test}30\%$	0.01%	99.99%	12.49%	87.51%	80.64%
3.2	$\mathrm{Train}70\%$, $\mathrm{Test}30\%$	0.01%	100.00%	12.50%	87.50%	81.64%
3.3	$\mathrm{Train}70\%$, $\mathrm{Test}30\%$	0.05%	99.95%	12.38%	87.62%	81.84%
3.4	$\mathrm{Train}70\%$, $\mathrm{Test}30\%$	0.42%	99.58%	11.51%	88.49%	80.14%
3.5	$\mathrm{Train}70\%$, $\mathrm{Test}30\%$	0.15%	99.85%	12.16%	87.84%	82.10%
4.1	$\mathrm{Train60\%}$, $\mathrm{Test40\%}$	1.76%	98.24%	6.74%	93.26%	80.70%
4.2	Train 60% , Test 40%	1.03%	98.97%	7.83%	92.17%	79.70%
4.3	$\mathrm{Train60\%}$, $\mathrm{Test40\%}$	2.67%	97.33%	5.37%	94.63%	79.20%
4.4	$\mathrm{Train60\%}$, $\mathrm{Test40\%}$	0.78%	99.22%	8.21%	91.79%	79.00%
4.5	Train 60% , Test 40%	4.67%	95.33%	2.37%	97.63%	80.30%
5.1	$\mathrm{Train50\%}$, $\mathrm{Test50\%}$	3.81%	96.19%	3.70%	96.30%	85.64%
5.2	$\mathrm{Train50\%}$, $\mathrm{Test50\%}$	3.69%	96.31%	3.71%	96.29%	86.10%
5.3	$\mathrm{Train50\%}$, $\mathrm{Test50\%}$	3.75%	96.25%	3.75%	96.25%	88.64%
5.4	$\mathrm{Train50\%}$, $\mathrm{Test50\%}$	3.53%	96.47%	3.69%	96.31%	87.40%
5.5	$\mathrm{Train50\%}$, $\mathrm{Test50\%}$	3.76%	96.24%	3.80%	96.20%	84.30%

Table A.1: The results of experiment 1

A.2 The Results Experiment Scenario 3

In order to know the optimal number of trees, then tested the number of trees (10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 200, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900). in addition to AUC, also calculated another evaluation measure

Table A.2: The results of experiment 3ime (s)TPTNFPFNTPRTNRFPR

N tree	Run Time (s)	\mathbf{TP}	\mathbf{TN}	\mathbf{FP}	\mathbf{FN}	TPR	\mathbf{TNR}	FPR	Recall	AUC
10	322	661	18210	1078	90	88.02%	94.41%	5.59%	88.02%	91.16%
15	347	671	18132	1156	80	89.35%	94.01%	5.99%	89.35%	91.65%
20	332	647	18305	983	104	86.15%	94.90%	5.10%	86.15%	90.42%
25	313	665	18144	1144	86	88.55%	94.07%	5.93%	88.55%	91.27%
30	290	648	18187	1101	103	86.28%	94.29%	5.71%	86.28%	90.20%
35	196	655	18077	1211	96	87.22%	93.72%	6.28%	87.22%	90.41%
40	179	652	18158	1130	99	86.82%	94.14%	5.86%	86.82%	90.41%
45	181	643	18067	1221	108	85.62%	93.67%	6.33%	85.62%	89.55%
50	175	644	18084	1204	107	85.75%	93.76%	6.24%	85.75%	89.67%
60	202	643	18033	1255	108	85.62%	93.49%	6.51%	85.62%	89.47%
70	177	640	18140	1148	111	85.22%	94.05%	5.95%	85.22%	89.53%
80	169	633	18017	1271	118	84.29%	93.41%	6.59%	84.29%	88.73%
90	167	633	17941	1347	118	84.29%	93.02%	6.98%	84.29%	88.54%
100	163	625	17903	1385	126	83.22%	92.82%	7.18%	83.22%	87.89%
200	169	625	17756	1532	126	83.22%	92.06%	7.94%	83.22%	87.53%
400	188	626	17549	1739	125	83.36%	90.98%	9.02%	83.36%	87.09%
500	199	626	17579	1709	125	83.36%	91.14%	8.86%	83.36%	87.16%
600	212	630	17395	1893	121	83.89%	90.19%	9.81%	83.89%	86.98%
700	222	627	17381	1907	124	83.49%	90.11%	9.89%	83.49%	86.74%
800	239	626	17482	1806	125	83.36%	90.64%	9.36%	83.36%	86.92%
900	255	624	17535	1753	127	83.09%	90.91%	9.09%	83.09%	86.91%

A.3 Performance Measurement

Base on experiment design in thesis, performance measurement is calculated for the three methods : Random Forest, Balance Random Forest, and Modified Balanced Random Forest

Algoritma	N-Tree	Sensitifity	Specificity	G-Means	AUC
	10	14.44%	83.77%	34.78%	49.10%
\mathbf{RF}	15	16.21%	89.14%	38.01%	52.67%
	25	11.67%	88.72%	32.18%	50.19%
	10	71.40%	91.87%	80.99%	81.63%
BRF	15	74.44%	93.42%	83.39%	83.93%
	25	75.46%	93.64%	84.06%	84.55%
	10	88.02%	94.41%	91.16%	91.16%
MBRF	15	89.35%	94.01%	91.65%	91.65%
	25	88.55%	94.07%	91.27%	91.27%

Table A.3: The Results of comparison performance measurement