

Association Rule Algorithm Sequential Pattern Discovery using Equivalent Classes (SPADE) to Analyze the Genesis Pattern of Landslides in Indonesia

Muhammad Muhajir^{a,1,*}, Berky Rian Efanna^{a2}

^a Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Islamic University of Indonesia
¹ muhammad.muhajir.stat89@gmail.com*; ² berkyeki@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received November, 27 2015
Revised November 30, 2015
Accepted November 30, 2015

Keywords:

SPADE
Landslide
Association Rule
Algorithm

ABSTRACT

Landslide is one of movement of soil, rock, soil creep, and rock debris that occurred the move of the slopes. It is caused by steep slopes, high rainfall, deforestation, mining activities, and erosion. The impacts of the landslide are loss of property, damage to facilities such as homes and buildings, casualties, psychological trauma, disrupted economic and environmental damage. Based on the impacts of landslide, mitigation required to take early precautions are to know how the pattern of association between the sequence of events landslides and to know how the associative relationship pattern of earthquakes. Based on the impacts, the results of this research is associative relationship pattern is obtained from data flood that occurs in Indonesia, namely in case of heavy rain will occur labile soil structure to support the value of 0.37, confidence level of 41% and the power of formed ruled is 1.02.

Copyright © 2015 International Journal of Advances in Intelligent Informatics.
All rights reserved.

I. Introduction

Indonesia is a disaster-prone country because it is located between the confluence of three major plates that active in the world like Eurasian plate, Indo-Australian plate and Pacific plate. According to the Law No. 24 of 2007 on Disaster Management, disaster is an event or series of events that threaten and disrupt the lives and livelihood caused by natural factors or factors of non-natural or human factors that lead to the emergence of human lives, environmental damage, loss of property, and the psychological impact.

According to BNPB the highest number of disaster events is landslides as much as 402 events occurs until August 2015. Landslide is one of movement of soil, rock, soil creep, and rock debris that occurred once the move to the slopes. It is caused by steep slopes, high rainfall, deforestation, mining activities, and erosion. The impacts of the landslide are loss of property, damage to facilities such as homes and buildings, casualties, psychological trauma, disrupted economic and environmental damage [1].

Based on the impacts of landslide, mitigation required to take early precautions is to know how the pattern of association between the sequence of events landslides. Search pattern or associative relationship of large-scale data is closely associated with data mining. Data mining is a series of processes for adding additional value of a set of data in the form of knowledge that had been unknown manually [2]. Sequential Pattern Mining is one of the methods used to find patterns in order to obtain useful information by searching the frequent sequences or a particular sequence of events that often arise [3]. One of algorithm that used is Sequential Pattern Discovery using Equivalent Classes (SPADE). SPADE is using vertical id-list for easy retrieval in the database. SPADE can look for frequent sequences with only a couple of times a database search [3]. Based on the background that described above, the issues to be discussed in this research is to know how the patterns formed between the sequences of landslides events using SPADE algorithm.

II. Related Works

Applied research related to disaster especially landslide has been investigated by several researchers. First, Analyzing the Land use change and the landslide characteristics for community-based disaster mitigation. The results show that a change in vegetation cover results in a modified landslide area and frequency and changed land use areas have higher landslide ratios than no changed. Land use management and community-based disaster prevention are needed in mountainous areas of Taiwan for hazard mitigation [4]. Second, analyzing the Landslide damage and disaster management system in Nepal. The results show that the landslide in Nepal was mainly caused by the combine effect of high rainfall, a steep slope and unconsolidated rock at the bed. The debris mass flowed along with the flood and caused damage downstream of the watershed. The existing landslide disaster management system in Nepal is weak so the disaster management system in Nepal must be considered as a part of rural development [5]. There is method to analyzing a framework for regional association rule mining and scoping in spatial datasets can be applied also in landslide case. The results of this research are spatial risk pattern and risk zones of arsenic in the Texas water supply were obtained [6]. However, these studies used Mining Conjunctive Sequential Pattern. The results from this paper is the new introduced patterns have high potential for real life applications like landslide case [7].

III. Basic Theory

A. Association Rule

Association rules is one of the main techniques in data mining and the most commonly used in finding a pattern or patterns from a data set [8]. Support is a measure that indicates the degree of dominance of an item or the entire item set transaction [9]. Support in this study is the probability of the sequence of events in a single incident of landslide is interconnected with the overall incidence of others landslides [10]. Thus, the value of an item support calculated as (1).

$$\text{Support}(X) = P(X) = \frac{n(X)}{n(S)} \quad (1)$$

Where $P(X)$ is a probability of event X , $n(X)$ is a number of event X in transaction, and $n(S)$ is the number of transactions on database S . Confidence is a strong relationship between items in association rules. In this research, confidence is defined as the probability of occurrence of certain items (the chronology of the landslide) in a single event (interconnected) and one of the chronology is certainly due to several causes of the landslide. Thus, the value of a combination of items confidence calculated as (2).

$$\text{Confidence}(X \Rightarrow Y) = P(Y/X) = \frac{P(X \cup Y)}{P(X)} \quad (2)$$

Where $P(Y/X)$ is a conditional probability of occurrence of Y when X events occurred, $P(X \cup Y)$ is a probability of occurrence of X and Y simultaneously, and $P(X)$ is a probability of occurrence X . Besides to these two parameters, one of the better ways to determine the strength of an association rule is to look at the value of the lift ratio. Lift ratios indicate the power level of the rule on random events of the antecedent (X) and consequence (Y) based on the each support expressed in equation of (3).

$$\text{Lift rasio}(X \Rightarrow Y) = \frac{P(X \cup Y)}{P(X)P(Y)} \quad (3)$$

Where $P(Y/X)$ is the probability of occurrence of events X and Y simultaneously, $P(X)$ is a probability of occurrence X , and $P(Y)$ is a probability of occurrence Y .

B. Sequence Pattern Mining

Sequential pattern mining used for data that has a sequence, the data can be a sequence of transactions. Sequential pattern mining first introduced by Agrawal and Srikant. Sequential pattern mining process can be described as follows, for example given a number of sequences, each sequence consisting of a series of elements and each element of support. Excavation sequential pattern is all of subsequence search repeated, subsequence that has the bigger frequency of occurrence than the minimum-support consists of a number of items, and given the minimum value [11]. To settle this sequential problem can be done by several methods. One of the methods is SPADE (Sequential Pattern Discovery Using Equivalence Classes).

C. SPADE (Sequential Pattern Discovery Using Equivalence Classes)

SPADE algorithm (Sequential Pattern Discovery using Equivalence classes = Invention of data sequence pattern using the same class) is a new algorithm for rapid discovery of data sequence pattern [11]. The definition of the class is a collection of objects that have the same attributes or parameters, while the frequency is the number of times data has the same value. The problem of data mining sequence patterns can be expressed as follows: $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$ an object consisting of a set of alphabet. While an event is a collection of actions that have orders to do. Sequence is a list of events. An event is denoted as (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) , where i_j is the object. If there is an α which is a sequence of objects that can be denoted as follows $(\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \alpha_q)$, where α is an incident. A sequence with k objects denoted by $k = \sum | \alpha |$ then this means that k is a k -order (k -sequence).

SPADE algorithm steps in finding *frequent sequence* and then to determine the rules of the *frequent sequence* are as follows [12].

1. Determine *frequent 1-sequence*
 - Do the scan for each *item set* in a sequence database.
 - Save the *id-list* for each *item set* (*sid* and *eid* pair).
 - Then scan the *id-list* from each *id-list*, each encountered *sid* that did not exist before, then value of the *support* is added.
 - Sequence that entered in *frequent 1-sequence* is the *support* that have value of more than *min_sup*.
2. Determine *frequent 2-sequence*
 - The data that used is data of *frequent 1-sequence*.
 - Combine each *frequent 1-sequence* with all other *frequent 1-sequences*. For example, if *1-sequence* A merged with *1-sequence* B, then the possibility of two sequences that occurs is A,B where A and B appear together in the transaction, $A \rightarrow B$ where item B appear after item A and $B \rightarrow A$ where item B appears after item A.
 - Check the *id-list* whether the *id-list* is have the equal *sid* for every merger of *frequent 1-sequence*, if equal, then check the *eid* of *1-sequence* A is equal or less than or more than *eid 1-sequence* B.
 - If equal, then *id-list* is included in the *2-sequence* A,B. If *eid* B is more than A, then the *id-list* is included in the *2-sequence* $A \rightarrow B$. If *eid* A is more than B, then the *id-list* is included in the *2-sequence* $B \rightarrow A$.
 - Then, as in the *frequent 1-sequences*, add the *support* for each *sid* that did not exist before.
 - From the *2-sequence* check the *support* value whether the *support* is more than *min_sup* or not. If the *support* value is eligible, then it is entered in *frequent 2 sequence*.
3. Determine *frequent k-sequence*

After determined the *frequent 2-sequence*, do the same process to seek the next *frequent sequence*, which is to determine *frequent k-sequence*. To determine a *frequent k-sequence* is performed to *join* the frequent ($k-1$) sequences that have the same *prefix*. For example, to determine the *3-sequence* combine the *frequent sequence* of *2-sequences* that have the same *prefix*, to determine the *4-sequence* combine the *frequent sequence* of *3-sequences* that have the same *prefix*, and so on. To determine *prefix frequent (k-1) sequence* remove the last item of the sequence. For example, if there is a *4-sequence* $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$, then the *prefix* is $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$. For each of this merger there are 3 possible outcomes:

 - If A, B are combined with A,C, then the possible result only A, B, C.

- If A,B are combined with $A \rightarrow C$, then the possible result only $A,B \rightarrow C$.
- If $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ combined with A, then there are 3 possible outcomes: $A \rightarrow B, C.$, $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$.

From each of these possibilities, check the *support* value. Whether it meets the *min_sup* or not. If yes then the sequence was included in the *frequent k-sequence*. *Frequent sequence's* searching is terminated if there is no *frequent (k-1) sequences* that could be join or there is no *frequent k-sequence* that found anymore.

4. Establishment of Rule

- After all *frequent sequences* are found, determined the rule of these sequences.
- *1-sequences* are not used to establish the rule because it is only consists of one item.
- To *2-sequence* which is antecedent is the first item and the consequent is the second item. Examples for sequence $A \rightarrow B$ then established rule is $A \Rightarrow B$. As for the sequence which is longer than 2 or *k-sequence*, the last item is used as consequent, while antecedent are all the items before the last item.
- For example, to *4-sequence* $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$, then the established rule is $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \Rightarrow D$. Calculated the *confidence* value for each rule. If it meets the limits of *min_conf* rule, then the rule is accepted.

IV. Results and Discussion

The population in this research is the occurrence landslides' data in Indonesia and the sample is the chronology of landslides events period August 2011 until June 2015. The type of data that will be used is secondary data obtained from the website of Indonesian National Board for Disaster Management. Variables of this research is chronologic. Chronologic is the sequence of events that occurred in landslide. This research using *Sequential Pattern Algorithm Discovery using Equivalent Classes* (SPADE). There are four step SPADE algorithm as follows:

A. Selection Data

This research using landslide data from Indonesian National Board for Disaster, as examples of the data is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Landslide Data

No	Date	Location	Victim	Loss	Information
1	29/06/2015	Sabdodadi, Bantul District, Yogyakarta, Indonesia	None	1 Unit broken bridges and several wells affected by landslide material	<u>Chronologic:</u> Caused by dredging the land in the cliff area <u>Effort:</u> Monitoring By BPBD District. Bantul, Indonesia
2	06/06/2015	Keroyo, Mekarsari, Sajira,Lebak, Banten, Indonesia	1 Victim (Mr. Makmun, 50 years old)	None	<u>Chronologic:</u> At the time of the landslide, the victim were digging kalimaya stone, rocks falls on the head of the victim and the victim's head was leaking. <u>Effort :</u> The victim was taken to the nearest health center.

Based on these data it appears that the data landslides has many attributes such as date, location, victim, Losses, and Description. However, not all of them will be used in this research so that the data do preprocessing to acquire the attributes used in the study.

B. Cleaning Data

This phase will clean up the data that is not needed to reduce data errors and duplication of data. For example, removing the attributes such as Date, Location, Victim, Losses, because that attributes are not used to establishment the rule. The data that used is an example of the result of the cleaning process is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Cleaning Data

No	Abbreviation	Chronological	Chronological
1		PT	Dredging Soil
2		TL	Landslides

C. Transformation Data

After data with attribute (numbers of events and chronologic) was obtained as presented in Table 2, the next phase is transformation of data by making a *co-occurrence table*. *Co-occurrence table* illustrates the strong collection of investigated landslide occurrences and also the chronology of landslides' events. As for Association Sequential Pattern Mining with SPADE algorithm, first the data is transformed into data format vertical, then the database sequences to shape a set sequence with format [itemset: (sequence_ID, EventID)]. In other words, for each itemset will be stored as *sequence identifier* and *event identifier* corresponding. *Event identifier* is useful as a timestamp of the itemset. A pair (sequence_ID, EVENT_ID) for each itemset shape ID_LIST of itemset. Some examples can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Transformation Data

No.	Items	Sequence_ID	Event_ID	Size
1	{PT}	1	1	1
2	{TL}	1	2	1
3	{HD}	2	1	1
4	{TL}	2	2	1
5	{PB}	3	1	1
6	{TL}	3	2	1
7	{GT}	4	1	1
8	{TL}	4	2	1
9	{HL}	5	1	1
10	{TL}	5	2	1

After the data is in accordance with the format SPADE algorithm obtained, the next analysis can be done. The next analysis is to look for the *sequential pattern*.

D. Sequential Pattern Mining with SPADE Algorithm

Sequential Pattern Mining with SPADE algorithm with minimum limit *support* 0.4 and the minimum limit *confidence* 0:01 used to know the association pattern between the chronologies of the landslides' events. Table 4 show the result of the analysis using statistical software *R* 3.2.2.

Table 4. Assosiation with SPADE Algorithm

No	Assosiations	Support	Confidence	Lift Ratio
1	<{ Heavy Rain }>=><{ Landslides }>	0,89	1	1
2	<{ Labile Soil Structure }>=><{ Landslides }>	0,40	1	1
3	<{ Heavy Rain, Labile Soil Structure }>=><{Landslides}>	0,37	1	1
4	<{ Heavy Rain }>=><{ Labile Soil Structure }>	0,37	0,41	1,02

Based on the defined limits that the *minimum support* 0.3 and the *minimum confidence* 0.1 obtained four association rules are formed and the information that obtained from these rules are:

a. Rule {Heavy Rain} => {Landslides}

Rules with *support* value of 0.89, *confidence* and *lift ratio* of 1 to 1. The meaning of *support* value of 0.89 is 89% or 552 landslides of the whole landslides studied (620 landslides) caused by heavy rainfall. *Confidence* value of 1 means that in case of heavy rain will causes landslides with a *confidence level* of 100%. While *lift ratio* value of 1 indicates how strong the rule or rules formed of sequential pattern mining algorithms. *Lift ratio* value ranged from 0 to infinity. If the

lift ratio value equals to 1, then the rule {Heavy Rain} => {Landslides} often occurred together but independently.

b. Rule { Labile Soil Structure } => {Landslides}

Rules with *support* value of 0.40, *confidence* and *lift ratio* of 1 to 1. The meaning of *support* value of 0.40 is 40% or 248 landslides of the whole landslides studied (620 landslides) caused by unstable soil structure. *Confidence* value of 1 means that if the soil structure is unstable, then it will causes landslides with *confidence level* of 100%. While *lift ratio* value of 1 indicates how strong the rule or rules formed of sequential pattern mining algorithms. *Lift ratio* value ranged from 0 to infinity. If the *lift ratio* value equals to 1, then the rule {Labile Soil Structure} => {Landslides} often occurred together but independently.

c. Rule {Heavy Rain, Labile Soil Structure} => {Landslides}

Rules with *support* value of 0.37, *confidence* and *lift ratio* of 1 to 1. The meaning of *support* value of 0.37 is 37% or 230 landslides of the whole landslides studied (620 landslides) caused by heavy rains and unstable soil structure. *Confidence* value of 1 means that heavy rains and unstable soil structure will causes landslides with *confidence level* of 100%. While *lift ratio* value of 1 indicates how strong the rule or rules formed of sequential pattern mining algorithms. *Lift ratio* value ranged from 0 to infinity. If the *lift ratio* value equals to 1, then the rule {Heavy Rain, Labile Soil Structure} => {Landslides} often occurred together but independently.

d. Rule {Heavy Rain} => { Labile Soil Structure }

Rules with *support* value of 0.37, *confidence* and *lift ratio* of 1 to 1.02. The meaning of *support* value of 0.37 is 37% or 230 landslides of the whole landslides studied (620 landslides) caused by heavy rainfall. *Confidence* value of 0.41 means that in case of heavy rain will causes unstable soil structure with *confidence level* of 41%. While *lift ratio* of 1.02 indicates how strong the rule or rules formed of sequential pattern mining algorithms. *Lift ratio* value ranged from 0 to infinity. If the *lift ratio* value equals 1 then the rule often occur together but independently. If the *lift ratio* value of more than 1, the rules will be recommended because the *antecedent* has a positive influence on the *consequent*. Rules with *lift ratio* value of more than 1 can be interpreted as a powerful rules. If *lift ratio* value of 1.02, then the rule {Heavy Rain} => {Labile Soil Structure} is recommended.

V. Conclusion

Based on the results of the analysis can be concluded that obtained four rules using *minimum support* value of 0.3 and *minimum confidence* value of 0.1 as follows:

1. Rules {Heavy Rain} => {Landslide}
2. Rules { Labile Soil Structure } => {Landslide}
3. Rules {Heavy Rain, Labile Soil Structure } => {Landslide}
4. Rules {Heavy Rain} => { Labile Soil Structure }

The most recommended rules is rules number four, rules {Heavy Rain} => {Structural Soil labile} with *support* value at 0.37, *confidence* value and *lift ratio* value of 0.41 to 1.02. *Support* of 0.37, *confidence* and *lift ratio* of 1 to 1.02. The meaning of *support* value of 0.37 is 37% or 230 landslides of the whole landslides studied (620 landslides) caused by heavy rainfall. *Confidence* value of 0.41 means that in case of heavy rain will causes unstable soil structure with *confidence level* of 41%. While *lift ratio* of 1.02 indicates how strong the rule or rules formed of sequential pattern mining algorithms.

References

- [1] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana, 2015, *Data Pantauan Bencana*. [Online]. Available: <http://geospasial.bnpb.go.id/pantauanbencana/data/datalongsorall.php>. [Accessed: 27-Dec-2015]
- [2] D. Han, H. Mannila, and Smyth, "Principle of Data Mining", Cambridge: The MIT Press, 2001.
- [3] K.M. Kumar., P. V. S. Srinivas., and C.R Rao. Sequential pattern mining with multiple minimum supports by MS-SPADE. *International Journal of Computer Sciences*, 9(5): 61-73, 2012.

- [4] C-Y.Chen, and W.L. Huang, “Land Use Change and Landslide Characteristics Analysis for Community-based Disaster Mitigation”, *Environmental monitoring and assessment* 185(5): 4125-4139, 2013.
- [5] P.P. Prasad, Omura, Hiroshi and friends, “Landslide Damage and Disaster Management System in Nepal”, *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal* 12(5): 413-419, 2003.
- [6] D. Wei, F. Christoph, Eick and friends, “A Framework for Regional Association Rule Mining and Scoping in Spatial Datasets”, *Geoinformatica* 15(1): 1-28, 2011.
- [7] C. Raissi, T. Calders and P.Poncelet, “Mining Conjunctive Sequential Patterns”, *Data Min. Knowl. Discov* 17(1):77-93, 2008.
- [8] M.Kantardzic, “*Data Mining: Concepts, Models, Methods, and Algorithms*”, John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2003.
- [9] J. Han and M.Kamber, “*Data Mining Concepts and Techniques Second Edition*”, Morgan Kauffman: San Francisco, 2006.
- [10] Y. Zhao and Yonghua C.Yonghua, “*Data Mining Applications with R*”, Academic Press : UK , Amsterdam , the Netherlands, 2014.
- [11] R. Agrawal and R.Srikant, “*Mining Sequential Patterns*”, Department of Computer Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1995.
- [12] M.J. Zaki, *SPADE: “An Efficient Algorithm for Mining Frequent Sequences”*, Computer Science Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, 2001.